UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

		08 MAR 24 PM 1: 47 Clerk's Office File Stamp	
то		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUR.	
	U. S. DISTRI	CT JUDGE / 🖵 U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
	OM: K.Hammerly,	Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 3/14/2008 OSCR854 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Deft Parket Puty	
	SE NO.: 08mj351		
	SE TITLE: <u>USA v</u>		
DO	CUMENT ENTITLE	D: Motion to Dismiss, 2255, Discovery Request	
	Upon the subn	ission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
/	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
$\bar{\Box}$	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
Ō	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
X		OTHER:No Proof of Service; Improper caption	
		Date forwarded: 3/17/2008	
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT AS HEREBY ORDERED:			
V	The document is	be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	copy of this order	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
	Rejected docume	t to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
		Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS F: William McCurine, Jr.	
	Dated: 3-24.	07 By: C. Bun -	